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Abstract This paper deals with a class of porous medium equation

$$
u_{t}=\Delta u^{m}+f(u)
$$

with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions．The blow－up criteria is established by using the method of energy under the suitable condition on the function $f(u)$ ．
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## 1 Introduction

In this paper，we consider the following porous equation with sources

$$
\begin{cases}u_{t}=\Delta u^{m}+f(u), & (x, t) \in \Omega \times[0, T)  \tag{1.1}\\ u(x, t)=0, & (x, t) \in \partial \Omega \times[0, T) \\ u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), & x \in \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a bounded domain of $R^{N}, N>2$ ，with a smooth boundary $\partial \Omega, m>1$ and $f(u)$ is a continuous function satisfying some conditions to be given later，$u_{0}(x)$ is a nonnegative function and $u_{0} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega) \cap W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ ．Problem（1．1）arises from nonlinear fluid dynamics，see［1］．When $m=1$ ，the blow－up properties of the semi－linear heat equation（1．1）were investigated by many researchers，see the survey［2］．The cases of fast diffusion were extensively studied for（1．1），we refer the readers to $[3-7]$ ．

The problems on blow－up to nonlinear parabolic equations were intensively studied（see $[8,16])$ ．The works mentioned above，the authors discussed Fujita exponents to ensure the

[^0]properties of blowing up by applying upper-lower solutions. To the best of our knowledge, there are a fewer works deals with blow-up solutions when the initial energy is positive. We can refer to [9-11]. Motivated by the above works, in this paper we establish a blow-up result for certain solution with positive initial energy. For the sake of simplicity, we assume that
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf \left\{\int_{\Omega} F(u) \mathrm{d} x:|u|=1\right\}>0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $F(u)=\int_{0}^{u} m s^{m-1} f(s) \mathrm{d} s$ and $B$ is the optimal constants of the embedding inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{\Omega} r F(u) \mathrm{d} x\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq B\left\|\nabla u^{m}\right\|_{2}, u^{m} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is

$$
B^{-1}=\inf _{u^{m} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega), u \neq 0} \frac{\left\|\nabla u^{m}\right\|_{2}}{\left(\int_{\Omega} r F(u) \mathrm{d} x\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}}
$$

where $r \in\left(2, \frac{2 N}{N-2}\right]$ is a fixed positive constant. In this paper, the norm $\|\cdot\|_{p}$ denotes $\|\cdot\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{1}=B^{-\frac{r}{r-2}}, \quad E_{1}=\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{r}\right) B^{-\frac{2 r}{r-2}} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{\Omega} F(u) \mathrm{d} x \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to verify that the following conclusion holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{\prime}(t)=-\int_{\Omega} m u^{m-1} u_{t}^{2} \mathrm{~d} x=-\frac{4 m}{(m+1)^{2}} \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{\frac{m+1}{2}}\right)_{t}^{2}, \quad t>0 . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give the definition of weak solutions to problem (1.1) and some preliminaries. The proofs of the main results will be presented in Section 3.

## 2 Preliminaries

It is well known that the equation in (1.1) is degenerate if $m>1$, and therefore there is no classical solution in general. We begin with the definition of a weak solution of (1.1).

Definition 2.1 A function $u$ with $u^{m} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega \times(0, T)) \cap L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right),\left(u^{\frac{m+1}{2}}\right)_{t} \in$ $L^{2}(\Omega \times(0, T))$ is called a solution of problem (1.1) in $Q_{T}$, if the following holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} u_{0}(x) \varphi(x, 0) \mathrm{d} x+\iint_{Q_{T}}\left[u \varphi_{t}-\nabla u^{m} \cdot \nabla \varphi+f \varphi\right] \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t=0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\varphi \in \Phi$, and $u$ satisfies the initial condition $u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x) \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, where

$$
\Phi=\left\{\varphi\left|\varphi \in H^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right), \varphi(x, T)=0, \varphi(x, t)\right|_{\partial \Omega}=0\right\}
$$

We have the following lemma with a similar method in [12].
Lemma 2.1 Let $h(s) \in C^{1}(R), f(s) \in C(R)$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(s)>0,\left|m s^{m-1} f(s)\right| \leq h\left(s^{m}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then for any $u_{0} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega) \cap W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$, there exists a $T^{\prime} \in(0, T)$ such that problem (1.1) has a solution $u$ with

$$
u^{m} \in L^{\infty}\left(\Omega \times\left(0, T^{\prime}\right)\right) \cap L^{2}\left(\left(0, T^{\prime}\right) ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right),\left(u^{\frac{m+1}{2}}\right)_{t} \in L^{2}\left(\Omega \times\left(0, T^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

Proof We consider the following regularization problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{t}=\triangle u^{m}+f(u), x \in \Omega, 0<t<T  \tag{2.3}\\
u(x, t)=\varepsilon, x \in \partial \Omega, 0<t<T  \tag{2.4}\\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x)+\varepsilon, x \in \Omega \tag{2.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $0<\varepsilon<1, u_{0 \varepsilon}(x)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\left(u_{0 \varepsilon}+\varepsilon\right)^{m}\right|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq\left|\left(u_{0}(x)+1\right)^{m}\right|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \\
\left|\nabla u_{0 \varepsilon}^{m}\right|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq\left|\nabla u_{0}^{m}\right|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \\
\left(u_{0 \varepsilon}\right)^{m} \rightarrow u_{0}^{m} \quad \text { in } \quad H^{1}(\Omega)
\end{gathered}
$$

By [13] we know that problem (2.3)-(2.5) has a classical solution $u_{\varepsilon}(x, t)$ and $u_{\varepsilon}(x, t) \geq \varepsilon$ in $\Omega \times[0, T)$.

First, we can claim that there exists a $T^{\prime} \in(0, T)$ and a constant $M$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{m}\right|_{L^{\infty}\left(\Omega \times\left(0, T^{\prime}\right)\right)} \leq M \quad \text { for all } \quad 0<\varepsilon<1 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove this, let $w(t)$ be the solution of the ordinary differential equation

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} w}{\mathrm{~d} t}=h(w)  \tag{2.7}\\
w(0)=\left|\left(u_{0}(x)+1\right)^{m}\right|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \tag{2.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

By standard theory, Chapter one in [14], there exists a $T_{1} \in(0, T)$, which depends on the initial value $\left|\left(u_{0}(x)+1\right)^{m}\right|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}$, such that the problem (2.7) and (2.8) has a solution $w$ on $\left[0, T_{1}\right]$. Let $\phi(x, t)=u_{\varepsilon}^{m}-w$, by (2.2) it follows that

$$
m u_{\varepsilon}^{m-1} f\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)-h(w) \leq h\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{m}\right)-h(w)=\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{m}-w\right) \int_{0}^{1} h^{\prime}\left(\theta u_{\varepsilon}^{m}+(1-\theta) w\right) \mathrm{d} \theta=C_{\varepsilon}(x, t) \phi
$$

Then $\phi$ satisfies the following inequalities

$$
\begin{cases}\phi_{t}-m(\phi+w)^{\frac{m-1}{m}} \Delta \phi-C_{\varepsilon}(x, t) \phi \leq 0 & \text { in } \Omega \times\left[0, T_{1}\right] \\ \phi(x, t) \leq \varepsilon^{m}-\left|\left(u_{0}(x)+1\right)^{m}\right|_{L^{\infty}}(\Omega) \leq 0 & \text { in } \partial \Omega \times\left[0, T_{1}\right] \\ \phi(x, 0)=\left(u_{0 \varepsilon}(x)+\varepsilon\right)^{m}-\left|\left(u_{0}(x)+1\right)^{m}\right|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq 0 & \text { in } \bar{\Omega}\end{cases}
$$

By comparison theorem, we can have $\phi \leq 0$ on $\left(\Omega \times\left(0, T_{1}\right)\right)$. Furthermore, it follows that

$$
\left|u^{m}\right|_{L^{\infty}\left(\Omega \times\left(0, T_{1}\right)\right)} \leq \max _{t \in\left[0, T_{1}\right]} w(t)
$$

Let $T^{\prime}=\frac{T_{1}}{2}, M=w\left(T^{\prime}\right)$, we derive that

$$
\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{m}\right|_{L^{\infty}\left(\Omega \times\left(0, T^{\prime}\right)\right)} \leq M
$$

Second, we may derive that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{0}^{T^{\prime}} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}^{m}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t \leq C_{1}  \tag{2.9}\\
\int_{0}^{T^{\prime}} \int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{m+1}{2}}}{\partial t}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t \leq C_{2} \tag{2.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $C_{1}$, and $C_{2}$ only depend on $T^{\prime}$. Multiplying (2.3) by $u_{\varepsilon}^{m}$ and integrating in $\Omega \times\left(0, T^{\prime}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{m+1} \int_{\Omega} u_{\varepsilon}^{m+1}\left(x, T^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{d} x-\frac{1}{m+1} \int_{\Omega}\left(u_{0 \varepsilon}+\varepsilon\right)^{m+1} \mathrm{~d} x \\
= & -\int_{0}^{T^{\prime}} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}^{m}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t+\int_{0}^{T^{\prime}} \int_{\Omega} f u_{\varepsilon}^{m} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t
\end{aligned}
$$

By (2.6), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{T^{\prime}} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}^{m}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t \leq & \frac{1}{m+1} \int_{\Omega}\left(u_{0}(x)+\varepsilon\right)^{m+1} \mathrm{~d} x-\frac{1}{m+1} \int_{\Omega} u_{\varepsilon}^{m+1}\left(x, T^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& +M \int_{0}^{T^{\prime}} \int_{\Omega} f(x, t) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t=C_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Multiplying (2.3) by $m u_{\varepsilon}^{m-1} u_{\varepsilon t}$ and integrating over $\Omega \times\left(0, T^{\prime}\right)$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{T^{\prime}} \int_{\Omega} m u_{\varepsilon}^{m-1}\left|u_{\varepsilon t}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t= & -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{0}^{T^{\prime}} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}^{m}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t+m \int_{0}^{T^{\prime}} \int_{\Omega} f u_{\varepsilon}^{m-1} u_{\varepsilon t} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t \\
= & -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}^{m}\left(x, T^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}^{m}(x, 0)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +\int_{0}^{T^{\prime}} \int_{\Omega} \sqrt{m} \sqrt{u_{\varepsilon}^{m-1}} u_{\varepsilon t} \sqrt{m} \sqrt{u_{\varepsilon}^{m-1}} f \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t
\end{aligned}
$$

By Cauchy inequality, we have

$$
\int_{0}^{T^{\prime}} \int_{\Omega} m u_{\varepsilon}^{m-1}\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t \leq m \int_{0}^{T^{\prime}} \int_{\Omega} u_{\varepsilon}^{m-1}|f|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t+\left|\nabla u_{0}^{m}\right|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}
$$

Furthermore, we can conclude from the above inequality that

$$
\int_{0}^{T^{\prime}} \int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{m+1}{2}}}{\partial t}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t=\frac{(m+1)^{2}}{4 m} \int_{0}^{T^{\prime}} \int_{\Omega} m u_{\varepsilon}^{m-1}\left|u_{\varepsilon t}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t \leq C_{2}
$$

Finally, inequalities $(2.6),(2.9)$, and (2.10) imply that there is a subsequence $\left\{u_{\varepsilon_{k}}\right\} \subset\left\{u_{\varepsilon}\right\}$ and a function $u \in L^{\infty}\left(\Omega \times\left(0, T^{\prime}\right)\right)$ such that as $\varepsilon_{k} \rightarrow 0$

$$
\begin{gathered}
u_{\varepsilon_{k}} \rightarrow u \text { a.e. on } \Omega \times\left(0, T^{\prime}\right) \\
\frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon_{k}}^{\frac{m+1}{2}}}{\partial t} \\
\rightharpoonup \frac{\partial u^{\frac{m+1}{2}}}{\partial t} \text { in } L^{2}\left(\Omega \times\left(0, T^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
\nabla u_{\varepsilon_{k}}^{m}
\end{gathered} \nabla \nabla u^{m} \text { in } L^{2}\left(\Omega \times\left(0, T^{\prime}\right)\right) .
$$

By Definition 2.1 and equation (2.1)-(2.3), Lemma 2.1 follows by a standard limiting process.

By the idea of Vitillaro in [15], we can have the following two lemmas.
Lemma 2.2 Let $u$ be a solution of problem (1.1). Assume that $E(0)<E_{1}$ and $\left\|\nabla u_{0}^{m}\right\|_{2}>$ $\alpha_{1}$. Then there exists a positive constant $\alpha_{2}>\alpha_{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\nabla u^{m}\right\|_{2}>\alpha_{2}, \quad \forall t \geq 0 \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r \int_{\Omega} F(u) \mathrm{d} x\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \geq B \alpha_{2}, \quad \forall t \geq 0 \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof By (1.3) and (1.5), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t) \geq \frac{1}{2}\left\|\nabla u^{m}\right\|_{2}^{2}-\frac{B^{r}}{r}\left\|\nabla u^{m}\right\|_{2}^{r}:=\frac{1}{2} \alpha^{2}-\frac{1}{r} B^{r} \alpha^{r}:=g(\alpha), \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha=\left\|\nabla u^{m}\right\|_{2}$. It is easy to verify that the function $g$ is increasing for $0<\alpha<\alpha_{1}$; decreasing for $\alpha>\alpha_{1} ; g(\alpha) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $\alpha \rightarrow+\infty$, and $g\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=E_{1}$, where $\alpha_{1}$ is given in (1.4). Since $E(0)<E_{1}$, there exists an $\alpha_{2}>\alpha_{1}$ such that $g\left(\alpha_{2}\right)=E(0)$. Let $\alpha_{0}=\left\|\nabla u_{0}^{m}\right\|_{p}>\alpha_{1}$, then by (1.6), we have $g\left(\alpha_{0}\right) \leq E(0)=g\left(\alpha_{2}\right)$, which implies that $\alpha_{0} \geq \alpha_{2}$. To establish (2.11), we argue by contradiction that $\left\|\nabla u\left(\cdot, t_{0}\right)\right\|_{2}<\alpha_{2}$ for some $t_{0}>0$. By the continuity of $\left\|\nabla u^{m}\left(\cdot, t_{0}\right)\right\|_{2}$, we can choose $t_{0}$ such that $\left\|\nabla u^{m}\left(\cdot, t_{0}\right)\right\|_{p}>\alpha_{1}$. It follows from (2.13) that

$$
E\left(t_{0}\right) \geq g\left(\left\|\nabla u^{m}\left(\cdot, t_{0}\right)\right\|_{2}\right)>g\left(\alpha_{2}\right)=E(0) .
$$

This is impossible since $E(t) \leq E(0)$ for all $t \geq 0$. Hence (2.11) is established.
To prove (2.12), we exploit (1.5) to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\left\|\nabla u^{m}\right\|_{2} \leq E(0)+\int_{\Omega} F(u) \mathrm{d} x . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\int_{\Omega} F(u) \mathrm{d} x \geq \frac{1}{2}\left\|\nabla u^{m}\right\|_{2}-E(0) \geq \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{2}^{2}-g\left(\alpha_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{r} B^{r} \alpha_{2}^{r} .
$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.2.
In the remainder of this section, we consider the case that $E(0)<E_{1}$ and $\left\|\nabla u_{0}^{m}\right\|_{2}>\alpha_{1}$, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(t)=E_{1}-E(t), t \geq 0 \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have
Lemma 2.3 For all $t>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<H(0) \leq H(t) \leq \int_{\Omega} F(u) \mathrm{d} x \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof By (1.6), we can see that $H^{\prime} \geq 0$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(t) \geq H(0)=E_{1}-E(0)>0 \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (1.5), (2.15) we obtain

$$
H(t)=E_{1}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|\nabla u^{m}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\int_{\Omega} F(u) \mathrm{d} x
$$

Exploiting (1.4) and (2.11), we have

$$
E_{1}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|\nabla u^{m}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq E_{1}-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{1}^{2}=-\frac{1}{r} B^{r} \alpha_{1}^{r}<0, \quad \forall t \geq 0 .
$$

Hence

$$
H(t) \leq \int_{\Omega} F(u) \mathrm{d} x, \quad \forall t \geq 0
$$

## 3 Main Result and Proof

In this section, we prove the main result by the energy method.
Theorem 3.1 Assume that $N>2,2<r \leq \frac{2 N}{N-2}$, let $f(s)$ satisfy (1.2), (1.3), (2.2) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
s^{m} f(s) \geq r F(s) \geq|s|^{m r} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, assume that $u_{0}^{m} \geq 0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(0)<E_{1} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the solution $u(x, t)$ of problem (1.1) blows up in finite time.
Proof Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(t)=\frac{1}{m+1} \int_{\Omega} u^{m+1}(x, t) \mathrm{d} x \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{\prime}(t)=\int_{\Omega} u^{m} f(u) \mathrm{d} x-\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We replace $\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u^{m}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x$ by (1.5) and (2.15), then (3.4) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{align*}
G^{\prime}(t) & =\int_{\Omega} u^{m} f(u) \mathrm{d} x-2 E(t)-2 \int_{\Omega} F(u) \mathrm{d} x \\
& =\int_{\Omega} u^{m} f(u) \mathrm{d} x-2 \int_{\Omega} F(u) \mathrm{d} x+2 H(t)-2 E_{1} \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

By using (1.4) and (2.12), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
2 E_{1} & =(r-2) \frac{1}{r} \alpha_{1}^{2}=(r-2) \frac{1}{r} B^{r} \alpha_{1}^{r} \\
& =\frac{\alpha_{1}^{r}}{\alpha_{2}^{r}}(r-2) \frac{1}{r} B^{r} \alpha_{2}^{r} \leq \frac{\alpha_{1}^{r}}{\alpha_{2}^{r}}(r-2) \int_{\Omega} F(u) \mathrm{d} x . \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (3.1) (3.5) and (3.6) that

$$
\begin{align*}
G^{\prime}(t) & \geq \int_{\Omega} u^{m} f(u) \mathrm{d} x-\left[\frac{\alpha_{1}^{r}}{\alpha_{2}^{r}}(r-2)+2\right] \int_{\Omega} F(u) \mathrm{d} x+2 H(t) \\
& \geq \int_{\Omega} r F(u) \mathrm{d} x-\left[\frac{\alpha_{1}^{r}}{\alpha_{2}^{r}}(r-2)+2\right] \int_{\Omega} F(u) \mathrm{d} x+2 H(t) \\
& =C \int_{\Omega} F(u) \mathrm{d} x+2 H(t) \geq 0 \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C=\left(1-\frac{\alpha_{1}^{r}}{\alpha_{2}^{r}}\right)(r-2)>0$.
Next we estimate $G^{\frac{m r}{m+1}}(t)$. By Hölder's inequality, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{\frac{m r}{m+1}} \leq k\left\|u^{m}\right\|_{r}^{r} \leq r k \int_{\Omega} F(u) \mathrm{d} x \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k=\left(\frac{1}{m+1}\right)^{\frac{m r}{m+1}}|\Omega|^{\frac{m r}{m+1}-1}$. By (3.7) and (3.8), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{\prime}(t) \geq \gamma G^{\frac{m r}{m+1}}(t) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma=C / r k$. Integrating (3.9) then yields

$$
G^{\frac{m r}{m+1}-1}(t) \geq \frac{1}{G^{1-\frac{m r}{m+1}}(0)-\left(\frac{m r}{m+1}-1\right) \gamma t}
$$

Therefore $G(t)$ blows up in a time $T^{*} \leq \frac{G^{1-\frac{m r}{m+1}}(0)}{\left(\frac{m r}{m+1}-1\right) \gamma}$, so does $u(x, t)$.
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